

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. :
10/727,769	12/04/2003	Noel Mascarenhas	06078/231001	7590
7590 01/18/2007 Jonathan P. Osha			EXAM	INER
Osha Liang LLP Suite 2800 1221 McKinney Street			STERLING, AMY JO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, TX 7		•	3632	
				y:
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/727,769	MASCARENHAS ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Amy J. Sterling	3632			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>	h the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 36(a). In no event, however, may a re vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT , cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 No	ovember 2006.				
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims	·				
4) 又	Claim(s) 1-15,22 and 23 is/are pending in the a	application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-15,22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗀 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		y the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•			
11) 🔲 🖰	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	promy amount of every 3				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	·			
**	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Ap	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not r	eceived.			
Attachment	(s)		•			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		/Mail Date formal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/727,769 Swing Down Fuel Tank Bracket, filed on 12/4/03. Claims 1-15, 22 and 23 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 11/8/06. Text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Applicant's amendment necessitated any new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action.

Election/Restrictions

Newly submitted claim 23 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The addition of the hydraulic device is considered a separate invention than the cradle that was originally presented. The examiner will rejoin claims if the applicant will insert a statement that admits that these devices are obvious permutations of the gas spring. The claims are considered withdrawn in this action and the withdrawal is considered FINAL.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 23 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-4, 8-10 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 5845940 to Colburn.

Colburn teaches a frame means (26) immovably mounted on a forklift truck vehicle, a means for supporting the fuel tank disposed on the tank bracket including a cradle (64) operatively connected to the frame, a means for rotationally maneuvering the means for supporting which is a hinge (46) that pivotally connected a first end of the cradle to a first end of the frame and a means for reliably engaging the means for supporting and a means for securing the tank bracket to the means for supporting which includes a latch (52) having a first portion disposed on a second end of the frame and a second portion disposed on a second end of the cradle, wherein the first portion of the latch is releasably engaged with the second portion of the latch, wherein after the latch is disengaged, the cradle is rotatable between a retracted position where the cradle is positioned substantially parallel to the frame and to the upper surface of a means for balancing which is the counterweight of the forklift truck which is a spring (56) and a means for damping (60) operatively connected between the frame and the cradle, the spring and damper both which retard rotational motion, and the cradle moves to an extended position wherein the cradle is positioned at an angle to a side surface of the counterweight, the cradle extendable in a single stage such that the second end of the cradle traverses an uninterrupted arcuate path, wherein the cradle may be maneuvered between the retracted position and the extended position by simultaneously rotating outwardly and downwardly to an angle of about 40 degrees in relation to the vehicle and an alignment pin (42), the means for balancing moving along a trajectory substantially similar to the trajectory traversed by the means for supporting, which the means for supporting is maneuvered from a retracted position to an extended position.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5-7 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 5845940 to Colburn as applied to claim 1 above and further in view of United States Patent No. 66637706 to Kim et al.

Colburn teaches the basic inventive concept including teaching a spring (56) with a motion damper (60) and a clamp (38) for holding the fuel tank to the bracket.

Colburn does not teach that the spring is a gas spring or that the cradle has straps for holding the bracket.

Kim et al. teaches a fuel tank cradle (10) which has a gas spring (50) for retarding the motion of the cradle and straps (46) for holding the fuel tank to the bracket. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used a gas spring and straps in order to retard motion and to secure the fuel tank to the cradle.

Response to Arguments

The applicant has argued that Colburn does not show that the motion of the cradle is in one single uninterrupted stage because it moves upward frit to a neutral

Application/Control Number: 10/727,769

Art Unit: 3632

position before descending to the lower portion of the arcing trajectory. This is unpersuasive in that without further description of what is meant by an uninterrupted stage, the limitation is still met by the motion as taught by Colburn. Also, the remainder of the motion is considered an uninterrupted arcing motion as described by the specification.

All other arguments are moot either due to the new grounds of rejection or withdrawn rejections.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 571-272-6823. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 571-273-8300 (formal

Application/Control Number: 10/727,769

Art Unit: 3632

amendments) or 571-273-6823 (informal communications only). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 571-272-3600.

Page 6

Amy J. Sterling Primary Examiner

1/3/07